

The Impact of Women Peacekeepers on Public Support for Peacekeeping in Troop-Contributing Countries

ANNEX 4

Regression Tables

1

PUBLIC SURVEY 1 (Mixed-Gender vs. All-Men Unit)

Full Sample OLS Regression Results

Table 1. Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Support for Peacekeeping (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.06 (0.08)	-0.07 (0.08)	0.13* (0.07)
Constant	3.04*** (0.06)	3.05*** (0.06)	3.10*** (0.05)
Observations	1,138	1,137	1,138
R ²	0.001	0.001	0.003
Adjusted R ²	-0.0004	-0.0002	0.002
Residual Std. Error	1.40 (df = 1136)	1.38 (df = 1135)	1.20 (df = 1136)
F Statistic	0.59 (df = 1; 1136)	0.81 (df = 1; 1135)	3.54* (df = 1; 1136)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 2. Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Support for Peacekeeping (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Gender Unit	0.09 (0.07)	-0.01 (0.07)	0.02 (0.08)
Constant	2.81*** (0.05)	2.68*** (0.05)	2.23*** (0.06)
Observations	1,151	1,150	1,151
R ²	0.002	0.0000	0.0000
Adjusted R ²	0.001	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	1.15 (df = 1149)	1.23 (df = 1148)	1.38 (df = 1149)

F Statistic	1.82 (df = 1; 1149)	0.02 (df = 1; 1148)	0.04 (df = 1; 1149)
Note:	*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01		

Table 3. Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part I (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Prevent Battle Deaths (1)	Train the Military (2)	Protect Civilians (3)	Promote Human Rights (4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.05 (0.10)	-0.10 (0.10)	-0.14 (0.10)	-0.12 (0.10)
Constant	8.38*** (0.07)	8.45*** (0.07)	8.62*** (0.07)	8.61*** (0.07)
Observations	1,134	1,133	1,133	1,132
R ²	0.0002	0.001	0.002	0.001
Adjusted R ²	-0.001	0.0000	0.001	0.0004
Residual Std. Error	1.76 (df = 1132)	1.69 (df = 1131)	1.60 (df = 1131)	1.65 (df = 1130)
F Statistic	0.19 (df = 1; 1132)	1.02 (df = 1; 1131)	2.04 (df = 1; 1131)	1.48 (df = 1; 1130)

Note: *p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 4: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part II (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Work with Local Men (1)	Work with Local Women (2)	Protect Refugees (3)	Prevent Sexual Violence (4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.15 (0.10)	-0.16 (0.10)	-0.08 (0.10)	-0.29*** (0.11)
Constant	8.50*** (0.07)	8.43*** (0.07)	8.50*** (0.07)	8.52*** (0.08)
Observations	1,132	1,130	1,132	1,131
R ²	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.01
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.001	-0.0002	0.01
Residual Std. Error	1.75 (df = 1130)	1.75 (df = 1128)	1.62 (df = 1130)	1.83 (df = 1129)
F Statistic	1.95 (df = 1; 1130)	2.38 (df = 1; 1128)	0.72 (df = 1; 1130)	7.01*** (df = 1; 1129)

Note: *p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 5: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part I (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Prevent Battle Deaths	Train the Military	Protect Civilians	Promote Human Rights
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.29* (0.16)	-0.21 (0.16)	-0.09 (0.16)	0.06 (0.15)
Constant	6.55*** (0.11)	6.41*** (0.11)	6.76*** (0.11)	6.81*** (0.11)
Observations	1,147	1,148	1,147	1,150
R ²	0.003	0.002	0.0003	0.0001
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.001	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	2.71 (df = 1145)	2.66 (df = 1146)	2.68 (df = 1145)	2.60 (df = 1148)
F Statistic	3.36* (df = 1; 1145)	1.82 (df = 1; 1146)	0.30 (df = 1; 1145)	0.13 (df = 1; 1148)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 6: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part II (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Work with Local Men	Work with Local Women	Protect Refugees	Prevent Sexual Violence
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.36** (0.15)	0.39** (0.16)	-0.01 (0.15)	-0.18 (0.17)
Constant	6.90*** (0.10)	5.97*** (0.11)	6.47*** (0.11)	6.22*** (0.12)
Observations	1,147	1,148	1,150	1,147
R ²	0.01	0.01	0.0000	0.001
Adjusted R ²	0.004	0.005	-0.001	0.0001
Residual Std. Error	2.50 (df = 1145)	2.67 (df = 1146)	2.60 (df = 1148)	2.88 (df = 1145)
F Statistic	6.04** (df = 1; 1145)	6.27** (df = 1; 1146)	0.003 (df = 1; 1148)	1.13 (df = 1; 1145)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 7: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Proportion of Women to Send in 2022 Contingent (India and South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>	
	What proportion of peacekeepers in 2021 contingent should be women?	
	India	South Africa
Treated Gender Unit	-0.56 (1.25)	3.63*** (1.29)

Constant	76.25*** (0.89)	48.74*** (0.91)
Observations	1,135	1,146
R ²	0.0002	0.01
Adjusted R ²	-0.001	0.01
Residual Std. Error	21.00 (df = 1133)	21.80 (df = 1144)
F Statistic	0.20 (df = 1; 1133)	7.95*** (df = 1; 1144)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 8: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Gendered Views (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Supportive of Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.38 (0.32)	-0.35 (0.26)	-0.05 (0.23)	0.02 (0.08)
Constant	19.46*** (0.23)	20.21*** (0.18)	11.26*** (0.16)	3.94*** (0.05)
Observations	1,121	1,125	1,124	1,129
R ²	0.001	0.002	0.0000	0.0001
Adjusted R ²	0.0004	0.001	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	5.36 (df = 1119)	4.34 (df = 1123)	3.84 (df = 1122)	1.27 (df = 1127)
F Statistic	1.40 (df = 1; 1119)	1.86 (df = 1; 1123)	0.05 (df = 1; 1122)	0.09 (df = 1; 1127)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 9: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Gendered Views (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Supportive of Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.18 (0.38)	-0.25 (0.30)	-0.24 (0.26)	-0.14 (0.10)
Constant	10.97*** (0.27)	14.85*** (0.21)	5.67*** (0.18)	2.97** (0.07)
Observations	1,116	1,122	1,122	1,138
R ²	0.0002	0.001	0.001	0.002
Adjusted R ²	-0.001	-0.0003	-0.0001	0.001

Residual Std. Error	6.28 (df = 1114)	5.05 (df = 1120)	4.30 (df = 1120)	1.63 (df = 1136)
F Statistic	0.22 (df = 1; 1114)	0.68 (df = 1; 1120)	0.86 (df = 1; 1120)	2.25 (df = 1; 1136)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Full Sample Results with Control Variables

Table 10: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Support for Peacekeeping (India)

	Dependent variable:		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.10 (0.09)	-0.12 (0.09)	0.07 (0.07)
Woman	0.04 (0.10)	0.09 (0.10)	-0.09 (0.08)
Other Gender	-0.75*** (0.18)	-0.49*** (0.18)	-0.33** (0.14)
PK Knowledge	0.40*** (0.06)	0.38*** (0.06)	0.26*** (0.05)
BJP Warmth	0.01** (0.002)	0.005** (0.002)	0.01*** (0.002)
INC Warmth	-0.0004 (0.002)	-0.0004 (0.002)	0.004*** (0.001)
Hindu	-0.54** (0.23)	-0.45* (0.23)	-0.13 (0.19)
Muslim	-0.20 (0.26)	-0.25 (0.26)	0.08 (0.21)
Age	-0.01 (0.05)	-0.02 (0.05)	0.07* (0.04)
Suburban	0.24 (0.21)	0.31 (0.21)	-0.31* (0.17)
Urban	-0.03 (0.11)	-0.06 (0.11)	-0.0000 (0.09)
Constant	1.71*** (0.44)	2.02*** (0.45)	1.17*** (0.36)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y
Observations	987	986	987
R ²	0.21	0.19	0.25
Adjusted R ²	0.18	0.15	0.22
Residual Std. Error	1.31 (df = 945)	1.32 (df = 944)	1.07 (df = 945)
F Statistic	6.17*** (df = 41; 945)	5.29*** (df = 41; 944)	7.76*** (df = 41; 945)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 11: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Support for Peacekeeping (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers	Support Contributing Money	Send More or Less Peacekeepers
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Treated Gender Unit	0.02 (0.07)	-0.07 (0.08)	0.002 (0.08)
Woman	0.19** (0.08)	0.13 (0.08)	0.02 (0.09)
Other Gender	0.001 (0.26)	-0.13 (0.28)	-0.22 (0.30)
PK Knowledge	0.25*** (0.03)	0.30*** (0.03)	0.16*** (0.03)
ANC Warmth	0.003* (0.001)	0.01*** (0.001)	0.01*** (0.002)
DA Warmth	0.003** (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.01*** (0.001)
EFF Warmth	0.002* (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.001 (0.002)
Christian	-0.14 (0.10)	0.01 (0.10)	-0.34*** (0.11)
Age	-0.01 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.05)
Suburban	0.68*** (0.12)	0.42*** (0.12)	0.84*** (0.13)
Urban	0.60*** (0.13)	0.26* (0.13)	0.77*** (0.15)
Constant	1.63*** (0.23)	1.78*** (0.24)	1.55*** (0.26)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y
Observations	807	807	807
R ²	0.20	0.25	0.35
Adjusted R ²	0.18	0.23	0.34
Residual Std. Error (df = 786)	1.05	1.09	1.18
F Statistic (df = 20; 786)	9.74***	13.34***	21.46***

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 12: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part I (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Prevent Battle Deaths (1)	Train the Military (2)	Protect Civilians (3)	Promote Human Rights (4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.02 (0.11)	-0.13 (0.10)	-0.12 (0.10)	-0.06 (0.10)
Woman	0.05 (0.12)	-0.04 (0.11)	-0.08 (0.11)	-0.18 (0.11)
Other Gender	-0.38* (0.22)	-1.01*** (0.20)	-0.23 (0.20)	-0.86*** (0.20)
PK Knowledge	0.33*** (0.08)	0.31*** (0.07)	0.18** (0.07)	0.19*** (0.07)
BJP Warmth	0.01*** (0.003)	0.02*** (0.003)	0.02*** (0.003)	0.01*** (0.003)
INC Warmth	0.01*** (0.002)	0.01*** (0.002)	0.01*** (0.002)	0.01*** (0.002)
Hindu	-0.16 (0.28)	0.04 (0.26)	-0.48* (0.26)	-0.18 (0.27)
Muslim	-0.54* (0.32)	-0.01 (0.29)	-0.60** (0.29)	-0.24 (0.30)
Age	-0.09 (0.06)	-0.12* (0.06)	-0.10* (0.06)	-0.07 (0.06)
Suburban	-0.05 (0.26)	-0.38 (0.24)	-0.08 (0.24)	-0.46* (0.24)
Urban	0.10 (0.13)	0.09 (0.12)	0.18 (0.12)	0.08 (0.13)
Constant	5.51*** (0.54)	4.47*** (0.50)	5.38*** (0.51)	5.89*** (0.51)
State Controls Included	Y	Y	Y	Y

Observations	984	984	984	983
R ²	0.16	0.25	0.17	0.18
Adjusted R ²	0.12	0.22	0.13	0.15
Residual Std. Error	1.60 (df = 942)	1.49 (df = 942)	1.49 (df = 942)	1.51 (df = 941)
F Statistic	4.42*** (df = 41; 942)	7.70*** (df = 41; 942)	4.73*** (df = 41; 942)	5.11*** (df = 41; 941)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 13: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part I (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Prevent Battle Deaths	Train the Military	Protect Civilians	Promote Human Rights
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.175 (0.175)	-0.080 (0.172)	-0.119 (0.172)	0.260 (0.164)
Woman	0.340* (0.180)	-0.170 (0.176)	0.157 (0.176)	-0.083 (0.167)
Other Gender	-0.193 (0.620)	-0.260 (0.608)	-0.379 (0.608)	0.256 (0.579)
PK Knowledge	0.456*** (0.073)	0.423*** (0.071)	0.460*** (0.071)	0.356*** (0.068)
ANC Warmth	0.014*** (0.003)	0.015*** (0.003)	0.012*** (0.003)	0.012*** (0.003)
DA Warmth	-0.0004 (0.003)	0.002 (0.003)	-0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)
EFF Warmth	0.011*** (0.003)	0.008*** (0.003)	0.011*** (0.003)	0.008*** (0.003)
Christian	-0.185 (0.235)	-0.188 (0.230)	0.116 (0.230)	0.057 (0.219)
Age	-0.103 (0.113)	-0.046 (0.110)	-0.038 (0.110)	-0.126 (0.105)
Suburban	0.970*** (0.272)	0.897*** (0.267)	1.355*** (0.267)	1.348*** (0.254)
Urban	0.745** (0.303)	0.734** (0.297)	1.317*** (0.297)	1.425*** (0.283)
Constant	3.840*** (0.547)	3.593*** (0.537)	3.621*** (0.535)	3.889*** (0.510)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	805	805	806	807
R ²	0.199	0.212	0.199	0.180

Adjusted R ²	0.178	0.192	0.179	0.159
Residual Std. Error	2.455 (df = 784)	2.406 (df = 784)	2.404 (df = 785)	2.290 (df = 786)
F Statistic	9.721*** (df = 20; 784)	10.540*** (df = 20; 784)	9.779*** (df = 20; 785)	8.622*** (df = 20; 786)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 14: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part II (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Work with Local Men	Work with Local Women	Protect Refugees	Prevent Sexual Violence
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.15 (0.10)	-0.13 (0.10)	-0.06 (0.10)	-0.27** (0.11)
Woman	-0.17 (0.12)	-0.08 (0.12)	-0.001 (0.11)	-0.22* (0.13)
Other Gender	-0.65*** (0.21)	-0.63*** (0.21)	-0.36* (0.20)	-0.46** (0.23)
PK Knowledge	0.33*** (0.07)	0.29*** (0.07)	0.30*** (0.07)	0.27*** (0.08)
BJP Warmth	0.01*** (0.003)	0.02*** (0.003)	0.02*** (0.002)	0.02*** (0.003)
INC Warmth	0.01*** (0.002)	0.02*** (0.002)	0.01*** (0.002)	0.01*** (0.002)
Hindu	-0.22 (0.27)	0.01 (0.27)	-0.56** (0.26)	-0.42 (0.29)
Muslim	-0.33 (0.31)	-0.17 (0.30)	-0.51* (0.29)	-0.65** (0.33)
Age	-0.05 (0.06)	-0.10 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.11 (0.07)
Suburban	-0.31 (0.25)	0.17 (0.25)	0.07 (0.23)	-0.08 (0.27)
Urban	0.24* (0.13)	0.25* (0.13)	0.17 (0.12)	0.24* (0.14)
Constant	4.96*** (0.53)	4.36*** (0.52)	5.00*** (0.49)	5.17*** (0.56)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	984	983	984	983
R ²	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.17
Adjusted R ²	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.13
Residual Std. Error	1.56 (df = 942)	1.53 (df = 941)	1.46 (df = 942)	1.67 (df = 941)
F Statistic	6.21*** (df = 41; 942)	6.45*** (df = 41; 941)	6.03*** (df = 41; 942)	4.72*** (df = 41; 941)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 15: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part II (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Work with Local Men	Work with Local Women	Protect Refugees	Prevent Sexual Violence
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.27* (0.16)	0.43** (0.17)	0.13 (0.17)	-0.06 (0.18)
Woman	0.04 (0.16)	0.13 (0.18)	0.29* (0.17)	0.18 (0.18)
Other Gender	0.65 (0.56)	1.12* (0.61)	0.03 (0.60)	0.16 (0.63)
PK Knowledge	0.25*** (0.07)	0.39*** (0.07)	0.44*** (0.07)	0.51*** (0.07)
ANC Warmth	0.02*** (0.003)	0.01*** (0.003)	0.01*** (0.003)	0.02*** (0.003)
DA Warmth	0.002 (0.003)	0.005 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)
EFF Warmth	0.01** (0.003)	0.01** (0.003)	0.01*** (0.003)	0.01** (0.003)
Christian	-0.03 (0.21)	-0.33 (0.23)	0.06 (0.23)	-0.18 (0.24)
Age	-0.03 (0.10)	0.03 (0.11)	0.02 (0.11)	-0.05 (0.11)
Suburban	1.31*** (0.25)	0.87*** (0.27)	0.92*** (0.26)	1.02*** (0.28)
Urban	0.86*** (0.27)	0.98*** (0.30)	0.67** (0.29)	0.67** (0.31)
Constant	3.99*** (0.49)	2.61*** (0.54)	3.32*** (0.53)	2.90*** (0.56)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	805	806	807	805
R ²	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.24
Adjusted R ²	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.22
Residual Std. Error	2.21 (df = 784)	2.42 (df = 785)	2.36 (df = 786)	2.49 (df = 784)
F Statistic	9.51*** (df = 20; 784)	8.98*** (df = 20; 785)	9.44*** (df = 20; 786)	12.26*** (df = 20; 784)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 16: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Gendered Views (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Supportive of Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.02 (0.25)	-0.21 (0.22)	0.13 (0.18)	0.08 (0.07)
Woman	-0.47 (0.29)	-0.19 (0.26)	-0.28 (0.20)	-0.11 (0.08)
Other Gender	-0.19 (0.52)	-0.64 (0.46)	-0.10 (0.36)	-0.14 (0.14)
PK Knowledge	1.28*** (0.19)	0.96*** (0.16)	0.81*** (0.13)	0.21*** (0.05)
BJP Warmth	0.06*** (0.01)	0.05*** (0.01)	0.05*** (0.005)	0.01*** (0.002)
INC Warmth	0.07*** (0.01)	0.05*** (0.005)	0.04*** (0.004)	0.01*** (0.001)
Hindu	0.54 (0.68)	-0.06 (0.60)	1.21** (0.48)	0.04 (0.18)
Muslim	-0.33 (0.75)	-0.35 (0.67)	0.94* (0.53)	0.08 (0.20)
Age	0.28* (0.15)	0.08 (0.14)	0.38*** (0.11)	0.06 (0.04)
Suburban	-0.76 (0.63)	-0.22 (0.55)	-0.87** (0.44)	0.15 (0.17)
Urban	0.37 (0.32)	0.63** (0.28)	0.23 (0.22)	0.06 (0.09)
Constant	4.36*** (1.33)	6.98*** (1.18)	-0.14 (0.92)	1.07*** (0.35)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	975	978	979	983
R ²	0.41	0.36	0.42	0.23
Adjusted R ²	0.38	0.33	0.39	0.19
Residual Std. Error	3.82 (df = 933)	3.39 (df = 936)	2.69 (df = 937)	1.03 (df = 941)
F Statistic	15.52*** (df = 41; 933)	12.96*** (df = 41; 936)	16.55*** (df = 41; 937)	6.68*** (df = 41; 941)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 17: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Gendered Views (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Supportive of Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	0.08 (0.37)	-0.10 (0.33)	-0.26 (0.24)	-0.14 (0.11)
Woman	-0.46 (0.38)	0.12 (0.34)	-0.56** (0.25)	-0.45*** (0.11)
Other Gender	0.92 (1.29)	1.25 (1.16)	0.003 (0.84)	-0.41 (0.39)
PK Knowledge	0.80*** (0.15)	0.25* (0.14)	0.63*** (0.10)	0.11** (0.04)
ANC Warmth	0.04*** (0.01)	0.04*** (0.01)	0.03*** (0.004)	0.01*** (0.002)
DA Warmth	0.03*** (0.01)	0.01** (0.01)	0.03*** (0.004)	0.002 (0.002)
EFF Warmth	0.01* (0.01)	0.01** (0.01)	0.01 (0.004)	0.002 (0.002)
Christian	-0.95* (0.49)	-0.86* (0.44)	-1.16*** (0.32)	-0.14 (0.14)
Age	0.19 (0.23)	0.64*** (0.21)	-0.27* (0.15)	0.09 (0.07)
Suburban	-2.07*** (0.57)	-0.34 (0.51)	-2.24*** (0.37)	-0.13 (0.17)
Urban	-2.61*** (0.64)	-0.31 (0.57)	-2.12*** (0.42)	-0.21 (0.19)
Constant	4.70*** (1.15)	8.10*** (1.03)	3.06*** (0.75)	2.01*** (0.34)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	789	797	791	799
R ²	0.40	0.24	0.47	0.13
Adjusted R ²	0.39	0.22	0.45	0.11
Residual Std. Error	5.08 (df = 768)	4.59 (df = 776)	3.33 (df = 770)	1.51 (df = 778)
F Statistic	25.89*** (df = 20; 768)	11.99*** (df = 20; 776)	33.59*** (df = 20; 770)	5.77*** (df = 20; 778)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 18: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Proportion of Women to Send in 2022 Contingent (India and South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>	
	What proportion of peacekeepers in 2021 contingent should be women?	
	<i>India</i>	<i>South Africa</i>
Treated Gender Unit	0.30 (1.01)	2.88** (1.30)
Woman	-3.34*** (1.16)	6.12*** (1.33)
Other Gender	-3.84* (2.09)	5.32 (4.60)
PK Knowledge	6.00*** (0.72)	3.20*** (0.54)
BJP/ANC Warmth	0.34*** (0.03)	0.12*** (0.02)
INC/DA Warmth	0.23*** (0.02)	0.12*** (0.02)
Hindu	6.96** (2.72)	
Muslim	7.46** (3.04)	
EFF Warmth		0.09*** (0.02)
Christian		-4.59*** (1.74)
Age	1.50** (0.62)	0.14 (0.84)
Suburban	0.15 (2.48)	-1.10 (2.03)
Urban	-1.02 (1.28)	-1.01 (2.26)
Constant	5.83 (5.21)	23.57*** (4.07)
Observations	985	803
R ²	0.47	0.36
Adjusted R ²	0.45	0.34
Residual Std. Error	15.47 (df = 943)	18.21 (df = 782)
F Statistic	20.25*** (df = 41; 943)	21.58*** (df = 20; 782)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 19: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Support for Peacekeeping (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers	Support Contributing Money	Send More or Less Peacekeepers
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.07 (0.09)	-0.07 (0.09)	0.13 (0.08)
Constant	3.05*** (0.06)	3.07*** (0.06)	3.09*** (0.06)
Observations	1,011	1,011	1,011
R ²	0.001	0.001	0.003
Adjusted R ²	-0.0004	-0.0004	0.002

Note: *p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 20: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Support for Peacekeeping (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers	Support Contributing Money	Send More or Less Peacekeepers
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Treated Gender Unit	0.11 (0.07)	0.02 (0.08)	0.06 (0.09)
Constant	2.79*** (0.05)	2.65*** (0.06)	2.15*** (0.06)
Observations	1,020	1,019	1,020
R ²	0.002	0.0001	0.0004
Adjusted R ²	0.001	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	1.15 (df = 1018)	1.24 (df = 1017)	1.40 (df = 1018)
F Statistic	2.52 (df = 1; 1018)	0.05 (df = 1; 1017)	0.43 (df = 1; 1018)

Note: *p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 21: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part I (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Prevent Battle Deaths	Train the Military	Protect Civilians	Promote Human Rights
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.11 (0.11)	-0.19* (0.11)	-0.19* (0.10)	-0.09 (0.10)

Constant	8.46*** (0.08)	8.51*** (0.08)	8.67*** (0.07)	8.62*** (0.07)
Observations	1,010	1,009	1,009	1,009
R ²	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.001
Adjusted R ²	0.0000	0.002	0.002	-0.0002
Residual Std. Error	1.71 (df = 1008)	1.69 (df = 1007)	1.60 (df = 1007)	1.64 (df = 1007)
F Statistic	1.04 (df = 1; 1008)	3.07* (df = 1; 1007)	3.47* (df = 1; 1007)	0.82 (df = 1; 1007)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 22: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part I (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Prevent Battle Deaths	Train the Military	Protect Civilians	Promote Human Rights
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.30* (0.17)	-0.19 (0.16)	-0.07 (0.17)	0.09 (0.16)
Constant	6.49*** (0.12)	6.36*** (0.12)	6.71*** (0.12)	6.76*** (0.12)
Observations	1,018	1,017	1,017	1,020
R ²	0.003	0.001	0.0002	0.0003
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.0003	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	2.66 (df = 1016)	2.59 (df = 1015)	2.65 (df = 1015)	2.54 (df = 1018)
F Statistic	3.31* (df = 1; 1016)	1.33 (df = 1; 1015)	0.18 (df = 1; 1015)	0.32 (df = 1; 1018)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 23: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part II (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Work with Local Men	Work with Local Women	Protect Refugees	Prevent Sexual Violence
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.17 (0.11)	-0.22** (0.11)	-0.11 (0.10)	-0.37*** (0.11)
Constant	8.53*** (0.08)	8.48*** (0.08)	8.54*** (0.07)	8.60*** (0.08)
Observations	1,009	1,008	1,008	1,006
R ²	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.01
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.003	0.0002	0.01
Residual Std. Error	1.73 (df = 1007)	1.73 (df = 1006)	1.60 (df = 1006)	1.76 (df = 1004)
F Statistic	2.52 (df = 1; 1007)	3.91** (df = 1; 1006)	1.23 (df = 1; 1006)	11.22*** (df = 1; 1004)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 24: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Ratings of Effectiveness, Part II (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Work with Local Men (1)	Work with Local Women (2)	Protect Refugees (3)	Prevent Sexual Violence (4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.34** (0.15)	0.41** (0.17)	0.0002 (0.16)	-0.11 (0.18)
Constant	6.83*** (0.11)	5.92*** (0.12)	6.45*** (0.12)	6.14*** (0.13)
Observations	1,017	1,018	1,020	1,017
R ²	0.005	0.01	0.00	0.0004
Adjusted R ²	0.004	0.005	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	2.46 (df = 1015)	2.63 (df = 1016)	2.55 (df = 1018)	2.83 (df = 1015)
F Statistic	4.73** (df = 1; 1015)	6.09** (df = 1; 1016)	0.0000 (df = 1; 1018)	0.37 (df = 1; 1015)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 25: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Gendered Views (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism (1)	Benevolent Sexism (2)	Less Supportive of Gender Equality (3)	Men More Effective at Combat (4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.65* (0.34)	-0.56** (0.27)	-0.14 (0.24)	0.01 (0.08)
Constant	19.74*** (0.24)	20.42*** (0.19)	11.36*** (0.17)	3.97*** (0.06)
Observations	998	1,002	1,001	1,006
R ²	0.004	0.004	0.0003	0.0000
Adjusted R ²	0.003	0.003	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	5.35 (df = 996)	4.27 (df = 1000)	3.83 (df = 999)	1.26 (df = 1004)
F Statistic	3.63* (df = 1; 996)	4.24** (df = 1; 1000)	0.33 (df = 1; 999)	0.01 (df = 1; 1004)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 26: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Gendered Views (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Supportive of Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Gender Unit	-0.33 (0.39)	-0.32 (0.32)	-0.25 (0.27)	-0.15 (0.10)
Constant	11.02*** (0.29)	14.84*** (0.23)	5.64*** (0.20)	2.97*** (0.07)
Observations	991	997	997	1,011
R ²	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
Adjusted R ²	-0.0003	0.0000	-0.0001	0.001
Residual Std. Error	6.18 (df = 989)	4.98 (df = 995)	4.25 (df = 995)	1.61 (df = 1009)
F Statistic	0.71 (df = 1; 989)	1.01 (df = 1; 995)	0.85 (df = 1; 995)	2.27 (df = 1; 1009)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 27: Effect of Seeing a Mixed-Gender Unit on Proportion of Women To Send in 2022 Contingent (India and South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>	
	What proportion of peacekeepers in 2021 contingent should be women?	
	<i>India</i>	<i>South Africa</i>
Treated Gender Unit	-1.33 (1.31)	2.87** (1.34)
Constant	77.11*** (0.94)	48.81*** (0.97)
Observations	1,008	1,015
R ²	0.001	0.005
Adjusted R ²	0.0000	0.004
Residual Std. Error	20.76 (df = 1006)	21.30 (df = 1013)
F Statistic	1.04 (df = 1; 1006)	4.59** (df = 1; 1013)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Full Sample OLS Regression Results

Table 28: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Support for Peacekeeping (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.07 (0.08)	0.003 (0.08)	-0.09 (0.09)
Constant	3.02*** (0.06)	2.96*** (0.06)	2.8*** (0.06)
Observations	1,155	1,155	1,013
R ²	0.001	0.0000	0.001
Adjusted R ²	-0.0002	-0.001	-0.0021
Residual Std. Error	1.39 (df = 1153)	1.40 (df = 1153)	6.128 (df = 1011)
F Statistic	0.75 (df = 1; 1153)	0.002 (df = 1; 1153)	0.916 (df = 1; 1011)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 29: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Support for Peacekeeping (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.01 (0.07)	-0.03 (0.08)	0.03 (0.08)
Constant	2.64*** (0.05)	2.63*** (0.05)	2.29*** (0.04)
Observations	1,150	1,149	1,151
R ²	0.0000	0.0001	0.0002
Adjusted R ²	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	1.26 (df = 1148)	1.29 (df = 1147)	1.29 (df = 1149)
F Statistic	0.01 (df = 1; 1148)	0.12 (df = 1; 1147)	0.19 (df = 1; 1149)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 30: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Emotions Surrounding Peacekeeping (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Sad (1)	Angry (2)	Mistake to Send Peacekeepers (3)	Peacekeepers Should Protect Civilians At All Costs (4)
Treated Woman Fatality	0.22 (0.15)	-0.04 (0.16)	-0.09 (0.08)	-0.01 (0.06)
Constant	7.82*** (0.10)	7.66*** (0.11)	1.64*** (0.05)	3.30*** (0.04)
Observations	1,153	1,151	1,151	1,154
R ²	0.002	0.0001	0.001	0.0000
Adjusted R ²	0.001	-0.001	0.0002	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	2.61 (df = 1151)	2.79 (df = 1149)	1.34 (df = 1149)	1.09 (df = 1152)
F Statistic	2.03 (df = 1; 1151)	0.06 (df = 1; 1149)	1.19 (df = 1; 1149)	0.03 (df = 1; 1152)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 31: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Emotions Surrounding Peacekeeping (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Sad (1)	Angry (2)	Mistake to Send Peacekeepers (3)	Peacekeepers Should Protect Civilians At all Costs (4)
Treated Woman Fatality	0.32** (0.16)	0.36* (0.19)	-0.09 (0.08)	-0.07 (0.08)
Constant	7.18*** (0.11)	5.08*** (0.13)	1.64*** (0.05)	2.56*** (0.05)
Observations	1,149	1,138	1,151	1,151
R ²	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001
Adjusted R ²	0.003	0.002	0.0002	-0.0002
Residual Std. Error	2.71 (df = 1147)	3.14 (df = 1136)	1.34 (df = 1149)	1.30 (df = 1149)
F Statistic	3.98** (df = 1; 1147)	3.82* (df = 1; 1136)	1.19 (df = 1; 1149)	0.76 (df = 1; 1149)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 32: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Gendered Views (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Support for Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.26 (0.36)	-0.06 (0.28)	-0.18 (0.26)	-0.01 (0.08)
Constant	18.90*** (0.25)	19.98*** (0.19)	11.11*** (0.17)	3.91*** (0.05)
Observations	1,138	1,145	1,145	1,151
R ²	0.0005	0.0000	0.0004	0.0000
Adjusted R ²	-0.0004	-0.001	-0.0004	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	6.11 (df = 1136)	4.72 (df = 1143)	4.34 (df = 1143)	1.35 (df = 1149)
F Statistic	0.53 (df = 1; 1136)	0.05 (df = 1; 1143)	0.50 (df = 1; 1143)	0.02 (df = 1; 1149)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 33: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Gendered Views (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Support for Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.94** (0.37)	-0.63* (0.33)	-0.49** (0.25)	-0.13 (0.11)
Constant	9.65*** (0.26)	14.65*** (0.23)	4.50*** (0.17)	2.89*** (0.07)
Observations	1,088	1,101	1,101	1,132
R ²	0.01	0.003	0.004	0.001
Adjusted R ²	0.005	0.002	0.003	0.0004
Residual Std. Error	6.12 (df = 1086)	5.47 (df = 1099)	4.12 (df = 1099)	1.77 (df = 1130)
F Statistic	6.40** (df = 1; 1086)	3.63* (df = 1; 1099)	3.86** (df = 1; 1099)	1.45 (df = 1; 1130)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 34: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Support for Peacekeeping (India)

	Dependent variable:		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.05 (0.08)	0.05 (0.08)	0.13 (0.09)
Woman	-0.23*** (0.09)	-0.22** (0.09)	-0.2** (0.1)
Other Gender	-0.30* (0.17)	-0.16 (0.17)	-0.132 (0.2)
PK Knowledge	0.56*** (0.05)	0.51*** (0.05)	0.34*** (0.05)
BJP Warmth	-0.004** (0.002)	-0.004** (0.002)	-0.003 (0.002)
INC Warmth	0.003* (0.002)	0.01*** (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)
Hindu	-0.15 (0.21)	-0.32 (0.21)	-0.38 (0.23)
Muslim	-0.22 (0.23)	-0.41* (0.23)	-0.26 (0.26)
Age	-0.24*** (0.04)	-0.28*** (0.04)	-0.05 (0.05)
Suburban	0.42** (0.20)	0.49** (0.20)	0.14 (0.23)
Urban	0.002 (0.12)	0.23** (0.12)	-0.11 (0.13)
Constant	1.72*** (0.47)	1.83*** (0.47)	1.55*** (0.53)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y
Observations	976	976	977
R ²	0.26	0.29	0.16
Adjusted R ²	0.23	0.26	0.12
Residual Std. Error	1.25 (df = 936)	1.24 (df = 936)	1.403 (df = 937)
F Statistic	8.65*** (df = 39; 936)	9.80*** (df = 39; 936)	4.40*** (df = 39; 937)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 35: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Support for Peacekeeping (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers	Support Contributing Money	Send More or Less Peacekeepers
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.03 (0.08)	0.03 (0.08)	-0.04 (0.09)
Woman	-0.21** (0.09)	-0.12 (0.09)	0.05 (0.09)
Other Gender	0.11 (0.51)	0.04 (0.51)	-0.27 (0.53)
PK Knowledge	0.18*** (0.03)	0.24*** (0.03)	0.17** (0.03)
ANC Warmth	0.01*** (0.001)	0.01*** (0.001)	0.01*** (0.001)
DA Warmth	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
EFF Warmth	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.003* (0.001)	-0.004** (0.001)
Christian	0.20** (0.10)	0.39*** (0.10)	0.11 (0.10)
Age	0.11** (0.05)	0.07 (0.05)	0.06 (0.05)
Suburban	0.18 (0.13)	0.10 (0.13)	0.06 (0.14)
Urban	0.07 (0.14)	0.07 (0.14)	0.04 (0.14)
Constant	1.64*** (0.25)	1.66*** (0.25)	1.75*** (0.26)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y
Observations	844	844	845
R ²	0.13	0.17	0.10
Adjusted R ²	0.11	0.15	0.08
Residual Std. Error	1.21 (df = 824)	1.21 (df = 824)	1.26 (df = 825)
F Statistic	6.50*** (df = 19; 824)	9.03*** (df = 19; 824)	5.05** (df = 19; 825)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 36: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Emotional Response (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Angry (1)	Sad (2)	Mistake to Send Peacekeepers (3)	Peacekeepers Should Protect Civilians at all Costs (4)
Treated Woman Fatality	0.10 (0.14)	0.28** (0.14)	-0.18** (0.07)	-0.02 (0.05)
Woman	0.59*** (0.16)	0.49*** (0.15)	0.13 (0.08)	0.02 (0.06)
Other Gender	-0.56* (0.30)	-1.19*** (0.30)	0.32** (0.15)	-0.08 (0.11)
PK Knowledge	-0.03 (0.08)	-0.28*** (0.08)	0.52*** (0.04)	0.62*** (0.03)
BJP Warmth	0.03*** (0.003)	0.03*** (0.003)	0.01*** (0.002)	0.001 (0.001)
INC Warmth	0.03*** (0.003)	0.02*** (0.003)	0.01*** (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)
Hindu	-0.13 (0.36)	-0.23 (0.36)	0.78*** (0.18)	-0.12 (0.13)
Muslim	-0.18 (0.40)	-0.02 (0.40)	0.72*** (0.20)	-0.04 (0.14)
Age	0.08 (0.08)	-0.11 (0.08)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.03)
Suburban	-0.71** (0.35)	-0.39 (0.35)	0.07 (0.18)	0.07 (0.13)
Urban	-0.19 (0.20)	-0.20 (0.20)	-0.22** (0.10)	-0.06 (0.07)
Constant	4.20*** (0.82)	5.83*** (0.82)	-0.53 (0.41)	1.03*** (0.29)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	974	975	977	975
R ²	0.27	0.21	0.38	0.47
Adjusted R ²	0.23	0.18	0.36	0.45
Residual Std. Error	2.18 (df = 934)	2.17 (df = 935)	1.10 (df = 937)	0.77 (df = 935)
F Statistic	8.64*** (df = 39; 934)	6.57*** (df = 39; 935)	14.98*** (df = 39; 937)	21.45*** (df = 39; 935)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 37: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Emotional Response (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Angry	Sad	Mistake to Send Peacekeepers	Peacekeepers Should Protect Civilians at all Costs
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	0.27 (0.22)	0.38** (0.19)	-0.14 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.09)
Woman	0.07 (0.23)	0.19 (0.19)	0.22** (0.09)	-0.15 (0.09)
Other Gender	-0.67 (1.31)	-1.55 (1.12)	-0.12 (0.55)	-0.26 (0.54)
PK Knowledge	-0.11 (0.08)	-0.06 (0.07)	0.01 (0.03)	0.08** (0.03)
ANC Warmth	-0.003 (0.004)	0.01*** (0.003)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)
DA Warmth	0.01*** (0.004)	-0.005 (0.003)	0.003* (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
EFF Warmth	0.01*** (0.004)	0.01*** (0.003)	0.0002 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)
Christian	-0.56** (0.25)	-0.26 (0.21)	-0.39*** (0.11)	-0.13 (0.10)
Age	0.44*** (0.13)	0.24** (0.11)	0.02 (0.06)	0.08 (0.05)
Suburban	0.52 (0.34)	0.92*** (0.29)	-0.20 (0.14)	0.30** (0.14)
Urban	0.63* (0.36)	1.04*** (0.31)	-0.30** (0.15)	0.002 (0.15)
Constant	4.12*** (0.65)	5.98*** (0.55)	1.74*** (0.27)	2.10*** (0.26)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	835	844	845	845
R ²	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.08
Adjusted R ²	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.06
Residual Std. Error	3.14 (df = 815)	2.67 (df = 824)	1.33 (df = 825)	1.28 (df = 825)
F Statistic	3.37*** (df = 19; 815)	3.60*** (df = 19; 824)	4.85*** (df = 19; 825)	3.90*** (df = 19; 825)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 38: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Gendered Views (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Support for Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.23 (0.25)	-0.14 (0.22)	-0.24 (0.18)	0.01 (0.06)
Woman	-0.02 (0.27)	-0.22 (0.24)	-0.10 (0.20)	0.01 (0.07)
Other Gender	0.34 (0.53)	0.16 (0.47)	0.51 (0.39)	0.11 (0.14)
PK Knowledge	1.06*** (0.15)	0.55*** (0.13)	0.74*** (0.11)	0.11*** (0.04)
BJP Warmth	0.07*** (0.01)	0.06*** (0.005)	0.04*** (0.004)	0.01*** (0.001)
INC Warmth	0.09*** (0.005)	0.07*** (0.004)	0.06*** (0.004)	0.01*** (0.001)
Hindu	2.10*** (0.63)	1.10** (0.55)	1.18** (0.46)	0.33** (0.16)
Muslim	0.89 (0.69)	0.50 (0.61)	0.68 (0.51)	0.18 (0.18)
Age	0.26* (0.13)	0.13 (0.12)	0.26*** (0.10)	-0.04 (0.03)
Suburban	-0.47 (0.62)	-0.81 (0.55)	-0.62 (0.45)	-0.06 (0.16)
Urban	-0.14 (0.36)	-0.08 (0.31)	-0.13 (0.26)	-0.02 (0.09)
Constant	1.20 (1.43)	8.96*** (1.25)	1.00 (1.05)	1.03*** (0.37)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	965	966	969	971
R ²	0.54	0.49	0.50	0.35
Adjusted R ²	0.52	0.47	0.48	0.32
Residual Std. Error	3.79 (df = 925)	3.31 (df = 926)	2.77 (df = 929)	0.98 (df = 931)
F Statistic	27.47*** (df = 39; 925)	22.67*** (df = 39; 926)	23.88*** (df = 39; 929)	12.84*** (df = 39; 931)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 39: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Gendered Views (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Supportive of Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.90** (0.38)	-0.70* (0.37)	-0.48* (0.25)	-0.13 (0.12)
Woman	-0.96** (0.39)	-0.36 (0.38)	-0.73*** (0.26)	-0.80*** (0.12)
Other Gender	-1.35 (2.42)	-0.02 (2.38)	-0.60 (1.63)	-0.98 (0.76)
PK Knowledge	1.11*** (0.13)	0.67*** (0.13)	0.59*** (0.09)	0.17*** (0.04)
ANC Warmth	0.03*** (0.01)	0.02*** (0.01)	0.03*** (0.004)	0.01*** (0.002)
DA Warmth	0.03*** (0.01)	0.02*** (0.01)	0.01*** (0.004)	0.01*** (0.002)
EFF Warmth	0.004 (0.01)	0.02*** (0.01)	0.01 (0.004)	-0.001 (0.002)
Christian	-1.24*** (0.43)	-0.91** (0.42)	-1.39*** (0.29)	-0.02 (0.13)
Age	0.29 (0.22)	0.33 (0.22)	0.21 (0.15)	-0.01 (0.07)
Suburban	0.40 (0.58)	0.95* (0.57)	-0.56 (0.39)	-0.09 (0.18)
Urban	-1.16* (0.62)	-0.11 (0.61)	-0.99** (0.42)	-0.12 (0.19)
Constant	5.14*** (1.13)	10.12*** (1.11)	2.70*** (0.75)	2.78*** (0.34)
State Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	808	817	819	836
R ²	0.31	0.17	0.30	0.14
Adjusted R ²	0.30	0.15	0.29	0.12
Residual Std. Error	5.30 (df = 788)	5.21 (df = 797)	3.56 (df = 799)	1.66 (df = 816)
F Statistic	18.82*** (df = 19; 788)	8.47*** (df = 19; 797)	18.28*** (df = 19; 799)	6.92*** (df = 19; 816)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 40: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Support for Peacekeeping (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.04 (0.09)	-0.01 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.09)
Constant	2.99*** (0.06)	2.95*** (0.06)	2.81*** (0.06)
Observations	1,031	1,032	1,032
R ²	0.0002	0.0000	0.001
Adjusted R ²	-0.001	-0.001	0.0002
Residual Std. Error	1.42 (df = 1029)	1.42 (df = 1030)	1.46 (df = 1030)
F Statistic	0.24 (df = 1; 1029)	0.004 (df = 1; 1030)	1.25 (df = 1; 1030)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 41: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Support for Peacekeeping (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Support Contributing Peacekeepers (1)	Support Contributing Money (2)	Send More or Less Peacekeepers (3)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.07 (0.08)	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.07 (0.08)
Constant	2.65*** (0.05)	2.61*** (0.05)	2.27*** (0.05)
Observations	1,052	1,051	1,053
R ²	0.001	0.0002	0.001
Adjusted R ²	-0.0003	-0.001	-0.0003
Residual Std. Error	1.25 (df = 1050)	1.29 (df = 1049)	1.28 (df = 1051)
F Statistic	0.73 (df = 1; 1050)	0.23 (df = 1; 1049)	0.73 (df = 1; 1051)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 42: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Emotional Reaction (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Sad	Angry	Mistake to Send Peacekeepers	Peacekeepers Should Protect Civilians at all Costs
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	0.23 (0.16)	-0.12 (0.17)	-0.14* (0.08)	-0.01 (0.07)
Constant	7.90*** (0.11)	7.76*** (0.11)	1.62*** (0.06)	3.32*** (0.05)
Observations	1,029	1,027	1,053	1,030
R ²	0.002	0.0005	0.003	0.0000
Adjusted R ²	0.001	-0.0005	0.002	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	2.57 (df = 1027)	2.76 (df = 1025)	1.32 (df = 1051)	1.09 (df = 1028)
F Statistic	1.95 (df = 1; 1027)	0.50 (df = 1; 1025)	3.06* (df = 1; 1051)	0.05 (df = 1; 1028)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 43: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Emotional Reaction (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Sad	Angry	Mistake to Send Peacekeepers	Peacekeepers Should Protect Civilians at all Costs
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	0.41** (0.16)	0.54*** (0.19)	-0.14* (0.08)	-0.15* (0.08)
Constant	7.19*** (0.11)	5.02*** (0.13)	1.62*** (0.06)	2.57*** (0.05)
Observations	1,051	1,041	1,053	1,053
R ²	0.01	0.01	0.003	0.003
Adjusted R ²	0.005	0.01	0.002	0.002
Residual Std. Error	2.67 (df = 1049)	3.13 (df = 1039)	1.32 (df = 1051)	1.30 (df = 1051)
F Statistic	6.18** (df = 1; 1049)	7.81*** (df = 1; 1039)	3.06* (df = 1; 1051)	3.41* (df = 1; 1051)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 44: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Gendered Views (India)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Supportive of Gender Equality	Men More Effective at combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	-0.37 (0.39)	-0.15 (0.29)	-0.36 (0.28)	-0.03 (0.09)
Constant	19.04*** (0.26)	20.14*** (0.19)	11.20*** (0.18)	3.93*** (0.06)
Observations	1,013	1,020	1,020	1,026
R ²	0.001	0.0002	0.002	0.0001
Adjusted R ²	-0.0001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001
Residual Std. Error	6.13 (df = 1011)	4.62 (df = 1018)	4.40 (df = 1018)	1.36 (df = 1024)
F Statistic	0.92 (df = 1; 1011)	0.25 (df = 1; 1018)	1.64 (df = 1; 1018)	0.10 (df = 1; 1024)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01

Table 45: Effect of Seeing a Woman Peacekeeper Fatality on Gendered Views (South Africa)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hostile Sexism	Benevolent Sexism	Less Support for Gender Equality	Men More Effective at Combat
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treated Woman Fatality	-1.37*** (0.38)	-0.97*** (0.34)	-0.75*** (0.25)	-0.20* (0.11)
Constant	9.61*** (0.26)	14.67*** (0.23)	4.44*** (0.17)	2.88*** (0.08)
Observations	996	1,009	1,008	1,035
R ²	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.003
Adjusted R ²	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.002
Residual Std. Error	5.97 (df = 994)	5.43 (df = 1007)	3.99 (df = 1006)	1.77 (df = 1033)
F Statistic	13.00*** (df = 1; 994)	7.97*** (df = 1; 1007)	8.95*** (df = 1; 1006)	3.22* (df = 1; 1033)

Note:

*p<0.1 **p<0.05 ***p<0.01