Executive Summary

In today's armed conflicts, humanitarian actors are increasingly challenged by the presence and proliferation of nonstate armed groups (NSAGs). In many cases, NSAGs exert control over territories and populations. However, their knowledge of and respect for international humanitarian law (IHL) and human rights standards are often limited. Under these circumstances, direct engagement with NSAGs is crucial for the protection of civilians in armed conflict. The panelists, all experienced field practitioners, presented concrete examples where engagement with NSAGs resulted in increased protection of civilians, such as the ban on anti-personnel mines, immunization of children, and release of hostages.

The diversity and fragmentation of NSAGs, shifting control over territory, and lack of cooperation by affected states pose serious challenges for humanitarian actors and demand a certain degree of pragmatism and flexibility. In order to improve the response to these challenges, increased understanding of the motivations and capacities of NSAGs for complying with IHL is required.

A neutral and purely humanitarian agenda is also key to building successful relationships with all parties. The panelists further emphasized the importance of involving local civil-society actors in the engagement process and making strategic use of the comparative advantages of UN agencies and NGOs.

Panelists urged member states to support, or at least not to obstruct, efforts by humanitarian actors to engage NSAGs, in order to seek improved protection for civilians, and they stressed that such efforts do not affect the legal or political status of NSAGs.

The Changing Nature of Armed Conflict

Traditional wars with the regular armies of two or more states confronting each other have become rare. Nearly all contemporary armed conflicts occur within the territory of a single state and involve one or more NSAGs fighting government forces or each other. These internal conflicts are often characterized by serious violations of IHL and human rights standards, such as the deliberate targeting of civilians, indiscriminate attacks, forced displacement of populations, the use of civilians as human shields, the recruitment and use of children in hostilities, rape, torture, hostage-taking, destruction of civilian property and looting. These abuses, whose main victims are civilians, are perpetrated by all parties, state and nonstate actors alike. In some countries, NSAGs have gained control over part of the territory and the population living therein. Therefore, efforts to assist and protect victims of armed conflict, in
particular civilian populations, must address not only the conduct of states, but also that of NSAGs.

Substantive normative advances toward the protection of civilians have been made in recent years. Yet, while NSAGs play an increased role in contemporary warfare and have responsibilities under IHL, the state-centric nature of the international legal system poses challenges for regulating their behavior. First, existing treaties and their implementation mechanisms remain predominantly focused on states. Second, even though they are bound by IHL, NSAGs cannot negotiate or become parties to international treaties. Therefore, there is little opportunity for NSAGs to express their willingness to abide by humanitarian norms, which may indeed limit the incentive to respect them in practice.

In his May 2009 report on the protection of civilians, the Secretary-General identifies the need to enhance compliance with IHL and human rights standards by NSAGs as one of five core challenges for more effective protection of civilians in armed conflict. According to the Secretary-General, there is an urgent need to develop a comprehensive approach to increasing NSAGs’ compliance and to engage in a sustained dialogue with all parties to a conflict. While engagement with NSAGs will not always result in improved protection, the absence of systematic engagement will almost certainly mean more, not fewer, civilian casualties in current conflicts.

The Value of Engaging Nonstate Armed Groups

IHL does not address the political implications of conflict. It seeks to abate the worst consequences of hostilities, while taking into account military necessity. When humanitarian operations must take place in the presence of nonstate parties to conflict, only regular liaison with these NSAGs can secure better compliance with IHL and human rights norms, ensure access to vulnerable populations, and ensure the safety of international and local staff.

The panels strongly supported the Secretary-General’s call for consistent and increased humanitarian dialogue with NSAGs. Being more and more exposed to situations in which NSAGs are present and have a direct bearing on victims of conflict, humanitarian actors have no choice but to engage with these groups in order to fulfill their mandates. This is particularly true for humanitarian access. Humanitarian actors cannot work in areas where NSAGs operate without first establishing contact and obtaining guarantees for the safety of their staff.

The experience of UNICEF in Afghanistan exemplified the risk associated with not doing so. In the post-September 11th context, after many years of engagement in which critical programs could be maintained, UNICEF was gradually obliged to suspend dialogue with the Taliban. At that time, the Taliban controlled large parts of the national territory. The suspension of dialogue resulted in a loss of access to certain areas, the cessation of vaccination campaigns, and a subsequent rise in child polio cases.

Experience also shows that NSAGs are willing to commit to not only respect specific humanitarian norms, but also to cooperate in the monitoring of their compliance. Geneva Call, for instance, has successfully engaged with more than forty NSAGs on the ban of anti-personnel mines to date, which have by and large complied with their commitments. A key factor for this achievement was Geneva Call’s inclusive approach providing an accountability mechanism, the Deed of Commitment, by which NSAGs can adhere to humanitarian norms and participate in the implementation and verification process. The most recent verification mission in the Philippines in 2009 demonstrates the usefulness of this approach.

Investigating allegations of the anti-personnel mine use by a local NSAG, the verification team was able to collaborate directly with the NSAG and government forces and involved populations to carry out the mission with the agreement and cooperation of

---

both the NSAG and the government. Besides increasing accountability and incentives to comply with commitments, monitoring and verification are also an integral part of the credibility of all engagement.

Other concrete examples were given by the panelists where engagement resulted in increased protection of civilians. The engagement process of humanitarian organizations comprises a variety of approaches, ranging from dialogue, negotiation, and advocacy, to dissemination, humanitarian training, and capacity building. These approaches are not mutually exclusive and vary according to the mandate of the organization concerned, its working methods and the normative framework within which it operates.

Challenges and Lessons Learned

IMPLICATIONS FOR HUMANITARIAN ACTORS

Humanitarian actors often face a complex mix of NSAGs with diverse backgrounds, motivations, and levels of understanding of international standards. In order to enhance ownership of and compliance with IHL and human rights standards, it was recommended that these norms be explained in terms that resonate locally. This requires a high degree of cultural sensitivity and the ability to adapt to different frames of reference.

The fragmentation of NSAGs is another challenge. It may affect the continuity of set agreements and entails renegotiation with new factions or splinter groups. Moreover, unclear or decentralized command structures and shifting control over territory may also complicate dialogue with NSAGs. Pragmatic and flexible approaches are needed in such circumstances and humanitarian actors should be prepared to invest in a long-term effort. Humanitarian engagement with NSAGs is a process; it must be conducted in a consistent and sustained manner in order to nurture a culture of compliance.

In certain cases, the interest of NSAGs to enter into humanitarian dialogue and comply with IHL is limited. The UN experience in Somalia demonstrates that compliance may depend on the group’s buy-in to the political process. NSAGs whose longer-term strategy is to be part of government are generally more susceptible to accept IHL and to respond to appeals for humanitarian assistance. The panelists repeatedly underscored the importance of better understanding the incentives, motivations, and capacities of NSAGs for complying with IHL and called for increased research into this.

Mistrust between warring parties, and also of humanitarian organizations, is common in armed conflict. Humanitarian actors need to demonstrate their neutral, impartial, independent, and purely humanitarian agenda through their actions. Working in a politically charged and sensitive environment, and building trust with all parties may include taking calculated risks.

Local actors with sound knowledge of the social, cultural, and historical context are often indispensable for building successful relations with NSAGs. Understanding the dynamics of the conflict is essential for engagement. In certain specific situations, however, it is advisable not to appoint local staff due to safety and neutrality considerations.

Furthermore, mobilizing local constituencies has also proved to have a persuasive effect on NSAGs. When addressing the humanitarian crisis in Colombia, the positive changes came foremost through the involvement and pressure of local communities on the parties.

The panelists also stressed the fact that international, intergovernmental, and nongovernmental organizations all have their comparative advantages. They encompass a variety of approaches and mandates and their relations should therefore be based on the principle of complementarity, and as much as possible, well-coordinated.

In order to achieve concrete humanitarian outcomes through engagement with NSAGs the panelists recommended having clear and focused objectives. Approaching NSAGs with multiple objectives is far less likely to yield positive results.

ROLE OF MEMBER STATES

In his opening remarks, UN Under-Secretary-General John Holmes noted that during the past few years, the normative advances toward protection of civilians have been encouraging but have
not yet been matched by substantial progress on the ground. In many places, the ability of humanitarian actors to access people in need of assistance has eroded, while the impact of conflict on civilian populations has grown.

Of equal concern is the increasing trend toward violence against humanitarian personnel working to assist or protect victims of conflict. In this context, states party to the Geneva Conventions are obliged to not only respect IHL, but also to ensure respect by others. It is a general obligation of all member states, including those affected by or involved in armed conflict, to better protect civilian populations. This includes ensuring that humanitarian actors are supported, or at least not obstructed, in their efforts to engage with NSAGs.

In some cases, states have impeded the intervention of humanitarian actors on their territory, fearing that this intervention would confer legitimacy on or some form of recognition to NSAGs. The panelists stressed the point that humanitarian engagement is neutral and impartial in character and does not affect the legal status of NSAGs. This is clearly stated in Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions.

In addition, terrorist listing and proscription regimes may have unintended negative consequences. They may constrain the space of humanitarian actors by criminalizing contacts with designated or proscribed groups and fuelling radical behavior instead of encouraging moderation within NSAGs.

**Conclusion**

The panel discussion made clear that humanitarian engagement with NSAGs is an effective approach to ensure better protection of civilians. Such an approach contributes to increased general compliance with IHL, access to vulnerable populations, and the safety of humanitarian workers.

Yet, considerable challenges remain. Humanitarian actors are facing complex settings and working environments that demand a high degree of flexibility, sensitivity, and cooperation. At the same time, some member states still have reservations or concerns about this work.

It is hoped that this event contributed to a better understanding of the benefits of humanitarian engagement with NSAGs and will help foster more support for such efforts, thereby improving the protection of civilians in armed conflict.
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